

Exhibit E

B O I E S , S C H I L L E R & F L E X N E R L L P

5301 Wisconsin Avenue N.W. * Washington, DC 20015-2015 * PH 202.237.2727 * FAX 202.237.6131

October 30, 2014

Judge Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers
United States District Court
Northern District California
Oakland Branch
1301 Clay Street
Courtroom 1, 4th Floor
Oakland, CA 94612

Re: *The Apple iPod iTunes Antitrust Litigation*
Case No. 4:05-cv-00037-YGR

Dear Judge Gonzalez Rogers:

Plaintiffs and Defendant Apple Inc. (“Apple”) have discussed a postponement of the trial until Monday, December 8, along with an expedited schedule to brief Plaintiffs’ request to add a new plaintiff class representative to this case, Apple’s opposition thereto, and Apple’s motion to decertify the reseller class. We hope to provide a stipulated schedule to the Court at tomorrow’s hearing.

Apple also wishes to clarify when the identity of the resellers was disclosed to the Plaintiffs. Contrary to Plaintiffs’ statements on the record at the Pretrial Conference that they could not have identified Mr. Riegel, the former owner of Delaware Computer Exchange, earlier, Plaintiffs have known the identity of Delaware Computer Exchange, and all other resellers, for over six years.

On August 28, 2008, in response to Plaintiffs’ Interrogatory No. 1 (which asked Apple to identify “any and all retailers, resellers and any others who purchased iPods from Apple for resale”), Apple provided an appendix, Attachment A, that listed all resellers, including Delaware Computer Exchange, and provided sales information for those resellers. Moreover, during merits and class discovery, Apple also produced to plaintiffs transactional data for all resellers, which included names and sales information for all resellers including Delaware Computer Exchange. On December 15, 2010 and January 6, 2011, Apple sent transaction level data for the 2002–2010 period to Plaintiffs, which listed all resellers including Delaware Computer Exchange. Professor Noll used that data in connection with his class certification reports. *See, e.g.,* Reply Declaration of Roger G. Noll at 34–39 (Mar. 28, 2011) (Dkt. 551). On April 1, 2011 (and in a revised file on April 11, 2011), Apple produced transaction level data for the 2001–2002 period to plaintiffs, which also included Delaware Computer Exchange. Finally, on April 29, 2011, Apple provided revised 2002–2010 transaction data that addressed issues Plaintiffs’

Judge Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers

October 30, 2014

Page 2

expert raised with respect to the data. In addition to using that data for class-certification purposes, Dr. Noll also used that data in calculating damages for the reseller portion of the class. Declaration of Roger G. Noll on Liability and Damages, at 78–80 (Apr. 3, 2013) (Dkt. 809-5).

Sincerely,

/s/ William A. Isaacson

William A. Isaacson
Counsel for Defendant Apple Inc.

cc: Counsel for Plaintiffs (via ECF)

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

**THE APPLE IPOD iTUNES ANTITRUST
LITIGATION**

Case No.: 05-CV-0037 YGR

PRE-TRIAL ORDER No. 4

**This Order Relates to:

All Actions**

Re: October 29 and 31, 2014 Pre-Trial
Conferences

On October 29, 2014, the Court held a pretrial conference in the above-captioned matter. Another pretrial conference was held today for additional discussion on the potential intervention of purported absent class member Kenneth Riegel and related scheduling issues.

The following memorializes certain stipulations of the parties as well as deadlines and other orders issued by the Court on the record during the October 29 and 31, 2014 conferences. Rulings on pending Motions *in Limine*, Discovery Designations, and Jury Instructions will issue separately.

1) Exhibit Lists [Dkt. Nos. 841, 844]

- a) **Physical Equipment:** Apple shall provide plaintiffs with access to the physical items (Dkt. No. 844, Trial Ex. Nos. 2704-43, 2787-92) it may seek to introduce into evidence as soon as practicable and the parties shall meet and confer regarding the admissibility of those items.

b) **Updated Lists:** The parties shall prepare updated trial exhibit lists reflecting stipulations to admit exhibits to which no objections have been lodged, and provide the updated lists to the Court at least **one week before trial**.

2) **Discovery Designations** [Dkt. No. 846]

a) By Thursday, **October 30, 2014**, the parties were to provide:

- i) A copy of the excerpt from the Deposition of Melanie Tucker, October 26, 2007 (at 12:20-13:5), identified in Plaintiffs' Counter-Designations (Dkt. No. 846 at 9); and
- ii) A copy of the excerpt from the April 12, 2011 Deposition of Steve Jobs (at 56:4-56:10), identified in Apple's Counter-Designations (Dkt. No. 846 at 14).

b) By Friday, **October 31, 2014**, the parties were to provide an updated proposed order clarifying those circumstances where rulings were required by the Court.

3) **Motion to Preclude Testimony:** Plaintiffs have agreed to withdraw Stefan Roever from their witness list. Apple's Motion to Preclude Testimony (Dkt. No. 852) is therefore **DENIED AS MOOT** only to the extent that it seeks to preclude Roever's testimony. The Motion otherwise remains pending.

4) **Order Regarding Trial Stipulations:** The parties' [Proposed] Order Regarding Trial Stipulations (Dkt. No. 838) is **GRANTED**. Also, the parties filed the Agreed Undisputed Facts (Dkt. No. 857) to be read to the jury. Finally, the parties stipulated that the curricula vitae of expert witnesses will not be submitted for admission into evidence.

5) **Motions *in Limine***

a) By Friday, **October 31, 2014**, Apple was to provide the Court and plaintiffs with specific identification of the portions of the Declaration of Roger Noll (Dkt. No. 740-14) that it seeks to strike within the identified 64-68 page range in connection with its Motion *in Limine* No. 1 and its Motion *in Limine* No. 3, or indicate that it seeks to strike the entirety of the highlighted portions in connection with both Motions *in Limine*.

b) By **November 3, 2014**, the parties shall provide updated proposed orders for their Motions *in Limine*, reflecting the parties' stipulations and the Court's rulings during the October 29, 2014 conference.

c) With respect to plaintiffs' Motion *in Limine* No. 1, by **November 4, 2014**, Apple shall provide briefing of no more than five pages, attaching an index and accompanying documents with relevant highlighted excerpts, indicating the extent to which plaintiffs previously sought to litigate the issue of the legality of RealNetworks, Inc.'s conduct.

Plaintiffs may file a response brief of no more than five pages by **November 6, 2014**.

6) **Cross-Examination of Apple Employees:** Pursuant to stipulation, the Court ordered that defendant's cross-examination can go beyond the issues addressed on direct examination for purposes of efficiency in connection with Apple employees called as witnesses by plaintiffs.

7) **Schedule:**

Event	Date/Deadline
Apple's Service of Written Discovery on Kenneth Riegel	October 31, 2014
Plaintiffs' motion to add Mr. Riegel as class representative	November 3, 2014
Riegel's completed responses to written discovery and production of documents	November 4, 2014
Riegel's deposition	No later than November 7, 2014
Apple's opposition to motion to add; pre-trial motion to decertify reseller portion of class	November 11, 2014
Telephonic conference (Apple to circulate dial-in number)	November 12, 2014 at 9 a.m.
Plaintiffs' reply re motion to add; opposition to decertification	November 14, 2014 at 12 p.m.
Apple's reply re decertification	November 17, 2014 at 9 a.m.
Hearing regarding motion to add class representative; motion to decertify	November 18, 2014 at 1 p.m.
Jury Selection	November 19, 2014 at 8:30 a.m.
Opening Statements and evidence to begin	December 2, 2014 at 8:30 a.m.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: October 31, 2014


YVONNE GONZALEZ-ROGERS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE